

There were: 20 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	RR	Avg	PHIL Avg	PHIL SP20	Div Avg	Div SP20	Sch Avg	Sch SP20						
											Str Disagree	Disagree	Mild Disagree	Mild Agree	Agree	Str Agree
Grp	Course Questions			5.18	5.13	5.42	5.23	5.37	5.17	5.29						
Grp	Instructor Questions (LEE)			5.2	5.26	5.47	5.35	5.52	5.30	5.33						
1	Objectives clearly stated	8	40%	5.5	5.20	5.51	5.27	5.44	5.26	5.41					50% (4)	50% (4)
2	Objectives met	8	40%	5.25	5.16	5.46	5.26	5.39	5.22	5.34					75% (6)	25% (2)
3	Content well-organized	8	40%	5.25	5.12	5.42	5.18	5.32	5.14	5.25				13% (1)	50% (4)	38% (3)
4	Course materials helpful	8	40%	5	5.11	5.40	5.19	5.34	5.12	5.26			13% (1)	13% (1)	38% (3)	38% (3)
5	Assignments & exams covered the course	8	40%	5.25	5.22	5.47	5.29	5.46	5.19	5.34				13% (1)	50% (4)	38% (3)
6	Learned great deal	8	40%	5	5.05	5.36	5.15	5.30	5.12	5.24		13% (1)		13% (1)	25% (2)	50% (4)
7	Overall effective course	8	40%	5	5.04	5.32	5.17	5.33	5.11	5.24		13% (1)			50% (4)	38% (3)
9	Explored humanities for students without background in the subject	8	40%	5	5.22	5.26	5.16	5.27	5.16	5.32				25% (2)	50% (4)	25% (2)
10	Helped me develop communication skills oral, written, analytical skills	8	40%	4.75	4.85	4.76	4.93	5.00	4.90	5.08			25% (2)		50% (4)	25% (2)
11	Would recommend for fulfilling humanities requirement	7	35%	3.71	4.95	4.90	4.96	5.11	4.93	5.13	14% (1)		43% (3)	14% (1)		29% (2)
12	Took this course to meet a General Education or Bach degree	8	40%	4.75	5.15	5.19	5.25	5.28	5.20	5.25	13% (1)		13% (1)		25% (2)	50% (4)
13	Instructor was organized (LEE)	8	40%	5.25	5.19	5.43	5.26	5.41	5.23	5.35				13% (1)	50% (4)	38% (3)

14	Instructor presented effectively (LEE)	8	40%	5.25	5.09	5.40	5.22	5.40	5.14	5.29				13% (1)	50% (4)	38% (3)
15	Instructor created respectful environment (LEE)	8	40%	5.25	5.32	5.61	5.40	5.59	5.37	5.53				25% (2)	25% (2)	50% (4)
16	Demonstrated thorough knowledge (LEE)	8	40%	5.25	5.40	5.60	5.49	5.67	5.44	5.57				13% (1)	50% (4)	38% (3)
17	Instructor encouraged questions/ opinions (LEE)	8	40%	5.12	5.33	5.56	5.43	5.59	5.35	5.49			13% (1)	13% (1)	25% (2)	50% (4)
18	Instructor available for student consultation (LEE)	7	35%	5.14	5.29	5.45	5.38	5.51	5.32	5.46				29% (2)	29% (2)	43% (3)
19	Overall effective instructor (LEE)	8	40%	5.12	5.18	5.41	5.30	5.48	5.24	5.39				25% (2)	38% (3)	38% (3)

Instructor	Text Responses
	<b>Question: Comments on course effectiveness</b>
	It was all very well organized. However, for a class which was engaging with cross-discipline majors, the grading scale seemed to be a bit extreme.
	The reading selections were very educational and class discussions facilitated further understanding.
	Having summaries of readings was helpful but wasn't available for all of them. Since no previous class in PHIL is required could have started slow in helping understand simple phrasing and stuff.
	The course is very helpful to understand ethics.
	this course and the instructor were excellent, however i believe it was a bit heavy for the humanities credit i was taking the class for. i was not very prepared for the depth of the course.
	Obviously this is a tough semester to evaluate as we had the unexpected transition to online. I think that video lecture were really helpful and I also liked how we had the whole outline of what we were going to read for the whole semester upfront.
	I dont have any comments.
	<b>Question: Instructor Comments</b>
LEE	Joonho was great with assisting students. Also, when grading coursework he provided thoughtful responses and comments.
LEE	While I marked "mildly agree" on all responses, Joonho never lectured or engaged with the students, so I have neither a positive nor negative review of his performance.
LEE	I have not talked to him a lot. But he does seem to care about the students in the class.
LEE	Didnt really talk to him.
LEE	He was a very harsh grader so basically what I said about the professor just be a little bit more understanding.
LEE	He was very nice and helpful. I could ask him questions and hed do his best to help out.